Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary 10/664,405

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/664,405
 DRAKE ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 ROBERT HODGE
 1729

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):
(1) <u>ROBERT HODGE</u> .	(3)
(2) <u>Denis Maloney</u> .	(4)
Date of Interview: 21 October 2011.	
Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant	applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.
ISSUES DISCUSSED 101 112 102 103 Others [For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)	
Claim(s) discussed: 1,12 and 23.	
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>U.S. 6,634,321</u> .	
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: Identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references ex)	
The Examiner proposed amending the independent claims to recite that the resistive heating element was disposed in the fuel egress port to overcome a prior art reference that was found when the search was updated. Applicants' representative consulted with his client and agreed to an Examiner's Amendment on 10/28/11, which is reflected in	
this office action .	
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.	
Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.	
☐ Attachment	
/Robert Hodge/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1729	